

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,138	AHMED ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
SHERMAN LIN	2447	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) SHERMAN LIN.

(3) George R. Rapacki (#60770).

(2) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 October 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 19, 37, 55, 64, 71, 78, 88, 98

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendments to further clarify a designation step of designating a CCA-capable node as a Responding CCA-capable node when $T_{LAST} < (\text{current time} - 2(T1))$ is true for each CCA-capable node. Additionally, the examiner proposed clarifying T_{LAST} as the time when a message from a current gateway CCA is received and clarifying $T1$ as a predetermined time period..